

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,906	07/03/2003	Hark Lim Choi	2336-190	3619
7	590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			HUSAR, STEPHEN F	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314		2875		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,906	CHOI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen F. Husar	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)	∑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	·	· ·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/a			
Applicant may not request that any objection			`
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			<i>)</i> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/3/03</u>.)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the 150-word limit and consists of multiple paragraphs instead of being a single paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-11 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art when taken separately or combined fails to teach or show a light unit having a light source with a light guide plate located at one side of the light source in which the light guide plate has a color dispersion sheet located at an opposite surface to the front surface of the light guide plate and a diffraction pattern is formed on at least one of the front surface and a rear surface of the light guide plate.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. HOSHI (6,020,944) shows a light guide plate with a diffraction grating.

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The objections to the abstract as set forth above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 571-272-2371. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W and F from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2875

SFH